# TYPO3.Neos - Task # 10611

Story # 10285 (Resolved): As Roger, I want clean and extensible JavaScript Code

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Status:** | Resolved | **Priority:** | Should have |
| **Author:** | Sebastian Kurfuerst | **Category:** | |
| **Created:** | 2010-11-03 | **Assigned To:** | Sebastian Kurfuerst |
| **Updated:** | 2012-08-31 | **Due date:** | |
| **Subject:** | Explore using JSON schema to document/test the registry. | | |
| **Description** | | | |
| | | | |

## History

**#1 - 2010-11-03 19:50 - Sebastian Kurfuerst**

*- Status changed from New to Accepted*

**#2 - 2010-11-03 22:24 - Sebastian Kurfuerst**

*- Status changed from Accepted to Under Review*

**#3 - 2010-11-03 22:51 - Rens Admiraal**

I know it's not meant for production environment yet, but just a question to open the discussion without taking a stand :)

Validation of the registry should be done before the registry is cached, or be done on cached parts of the registry before caching and on possibly non-cached (if possible in some situation) parts at runtime?

**#4 - 2010-11-04 07:55 - Sebastian Kurfuerst**

Hey,

> *Validation of the registry should be done before the registry is cached, or be done on cached parts of the registry before caching and on possibly non-cached (if possible in some situation) parts at runtime?*

right now, I see it more as a **documentation** tool which we use to express how the registry should look like  and what contents it should have -- somehow like an executable specification.

So, I'd imagine that module would be only active on Development time, and would check the compiled contents of the registry.

I'm not sure I answered your question, does that make sense?

Greets, Sebastian

**#5 - 2010-11-24 12:45 - Robert Lemke**

*- Status changed from Under Review to Resolved*

*- Estimated time set to 0.00*

*- Remaining (hours) set to 0.0*

**#6 - 2012-08-31 10:38 - Robert Lemke**

*- Project changed from Base Distribution to TYPO3.Neos*