

TYPO3.Flow - Feature # 27116

Status:	Closed	Priority:	Should have
Author:	Fernando Arconada	Category:	MVC - Routing
Created:	2011-05-29	Assigned To:	Bastian Waidelich
Updated:	2011-09-30	Due date:	
PHP Version:			
Has patch:			
Complexity:			
Subject:	Routing: Declared variables only available in POST but not in GET regex signature		
Description			
Variables are declared only in the GET signature but you could have something like - name: 'algo actions' uriPattern: 'algo/{@action}/{myvar}' defaults: '@package': Sifpe '@controller': Empresa '@action': list '@format': json POST: vars: [myvar2,myvar3] defaults: 'myvar1': 'somevalue' 'myvar2': 'somevalue'			
Related issues:			
related to TYPO3.Flow - Feature # 27117: Bind routes to HTTP request methods		Resolved	2011-05-29 2013-04-13

History

#1 - 2011-05-30 10:24 - Bastian Waidelich

- Category changed from Configuration to MVC - Routing

#2 - 2011-09-18 13:43 - Bastian Waidelich

Hi Fernando,

Route defaults merge POST and GET vars.

do you have a concrete example of what you're trying to achieve?

#3 - 2011-09-18 14:16 - Fernando Arconada

Yes I did it in the issue text.

But for example I want to declare a variable only available via POST but not GET

#4 - 2011-09-18 14:47 - Bastian Waidelich

Fernando Arconada wrote:

Hi,

| *But for example I want to declare a variable only available via POST but not GET*

Sorry for insisting, but I still don't get the use case.

In the controller there is currently no difference between GET and POST, so what would be the concrete use case?

Is the aim to have a route that only matches, if a certain HTTP method is used? Because that would be fixed with #27117

#5 - 2011-09-18 15:29 - Fernando Arconada

I don't remember the use case that I had when I filled the issue. Maybe the problem could be fixed with #27117 at this moment, but I'm not sure

#6 - 2011-09-30 12:08 - Bastian Waidelich

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Assigned To set to Bastian Waidelich

Fernando Arconada wrote:

| *Maybe the problem could be fixed with #27117 at this moment, but I'm not sure*

I guess so too.

I'm closing the issue for now. If you (or anyone) comes across the issue again, feel free to re-open.