TYPO3.Flow - Feature # 30933

Status:	Needs Feedback	Priority:	Should have
Author:	Thomas Hempel	Category:	Persistence
Created:	2011-10-14	Assigned To:	Karsten Dambekalns
Updated:	2014-10-01	Due date:	
PHP Version:	-		
Has patch:	No		
Complexity:			
Subject:	Check for unique constraints c	on add()	
Description			
	night lead into some problems late		he add() method of your repository is called.
/**			
* @scope prototyp	De		
* @entity			
* @Table(name="	acme_demo_domain_model_my	model", uniqueConstraints={@Un	niqueConstraint(name="name_idx",
columns={"name"})})		
*/			
class MyModel {			
/**			
* @var string			
*/	~		
protected \$name	9;		
[snip]			
[Ship] }			
J			
The propety name has	a doctrine unique contraints whi	ich means that i can only exists or	nce in the database.
	·		
Now you have this cor	ntroller		
class AcmeDemoC	CommandController extends \TYF	PO3\FLOW3\MVC\Controller\Com	ImandController {
/**			
* Add a MyMod	el		
* Adda - NA.44-	dal with a given name		
* Adds a MyMo	dql with a given name		
* Onaram string	g \$name The name of the reposit	orv	
wparam stilli(*	y whathe the name of the reposit		
* @return void			
*/			
	ddCommand(\$name) {		
try {	······································		
	IInstance = new \Acme\Demo\Do	main\Model\MvModel(\$name):	
	IodelRepository->add(\$newMode		
. ,		··	

```
} catch (\Exception $e) {
    $this->outputLine('The MyModel could not be created!');
    return -1;
}
```

\$this->outputLine('New MyModel was created.');

On the command line you do the following:

./flow3 AcmeDemo:add foo

}

}

This works the first time as expected.

When you execute it the second time, you get an Exception because the name "foo" is already present in the database. You get the Exception even though you put the code in a try catch blog is, this is because the method to actually save the changes is called after your code. The responsible method is persistAll().

This leads to some more problem which I put into a seperate issue. For now let me tell you that it doesn't work.

It would be really cool if the add would return something that tells me if an object can be persisted or not. Otherwise I had to check all my DB constraints manually whenever I work with my model. And if I understood DDD corectly, this is not the Domain of my controller. ;-)

Related issues:		
related to TYPO3.Flow - Bug # 30934: persistAll() should only be called if En	Resolved	2011-10-14
related to TYPO3.Flow - Feature # 29547: @identity should make property uniqu	Resolved	2012-04-30
related to TYPO3.Flow - Bug # 32823: Make combination of Account identifier a	Resolved	2011-12-23
related to TYPO3.Party - Bug # 37266: Duplicate email address throws exception	Resolved	2012-05-17

History

#1 - 2011-10-14 15:41 - Karsten Dambekalns

- Tracker changed from Suggestion to Feature

- Project changed from TYPO3 Flow Base Distribution to TYPO3.Flow

#2 - 2011-10-14 15:41 - Karsten Dambekalns

- Category set to Persistence

#3 - 2011-10-25 09:33 - Christopher Hlubek

- Has patch set to No

This is actually a problem with the FLOW3 persistence approach since some time. At one side it's an advantage to not save the object in "add" and defer it until the end. Otherwise (especially for things like Uniqueness or DB constraints) it fails much too late to respond to this in a nice way.

I wouldn't change the behaviour of add since it allows for a nice caching of operations. But we could make a persistAll() or similar operation more user friendly to provide results for persistence operations object-wise (like results for validations for example).

#4 - 2012-01-27 18:21 - Karsten Dambekalns

Actually moving the validation run from onFlush to prePersist / preUpdate could solve this. Worth a try.

#5 - 2012-01-27 19:11 - Gerrit Code Review

- Status changed from New to Under Review

Patch set 1 for branch **master** has been pushed to the review server. It is available at <u>http://review.typo3.org/8733</u>

#6 - 2012-01-29 21:59 - Karsten Dambekalns

- Assigned To set to Karsten Dambekalns
- Target version set to 1.1

#7 - 2012-05-07 17:15 - Robert Lemke

- Status changed from Under Review to Needs Feedback

#8 - 2012-05-07 17:15 - Robert Lemke

- Target version deleted (1.1)

#9 - 2014-10-01 12:36 - Christian Müller

This change is still relevant and needs to move to jira.