

## Fluid - ViewHelper - Incubator - Suggestion # 3677

<b>Status:</b>	New	<b>Priority:</b>	Could have
<b>Author:</b>	Steffen Ritter	<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Created:</b>	2009-06-16	<b>Assigned To:</b>	
<b>Updated:</b>	2013-07-17	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Has patch:</b>	No		
<b>Tags:</b>			
<b>Subject:</b>	embed.javaScript		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>Hi,</p> <p>if you wanna put Inline JS into your fluid template your validation may fail if you lack the CDATA Section.</p> <p>If you wanna call internal ViewHelpers in JS you cannot set CDATA manually in the template</p> <p>--&gt; Need for a ViewHelper</p> <p>Attached is a ViewHelper I'm currently using and satisfying this.</p> <p>Turns</p> <pre>&lt;f:js&gt;   Ext.get('BezirkeSearchField').on('keypress', function(){     BezirkeAjaxSearch.delay(500);   });   Ext.Ajax.url = '&lt;f:uri.action action="ajax" /&gt;'; &lt;/f:js&gt;</pre> <p>into</p> <pre>&lt;script type="text/javascript"&gt;   /* <![CDATA[ */    Ext.get('BezirkeSearchField').on('keypress', function(){     BezirkeAjaxSearch.delay(500);   });   Ext.Ajax.url = '...?tx_rsbezirke_pi1%5Baction%5D=ajax&amp;tx_rsbezirke_pi1%5Bcontroller%5D=Street';    /* ]]&gt; */ &lt;/script&gt;</pre><p>It's pretty simple...</p><p>Thanks for taking care.</p><p>regards</p></td></tr></table></div><div data-bbox="71 947 147 961" data-label="Page-Footer"><p>2015-08-03</p></div><div data-bbox="885 947 916 961" data-label="Page-Footer"><p>1/5</p></div>]]></pre>			

Steffen

**Related issues:**

related to TYPO3.Fluid - Bug # 8879: { } when using as JavaScript

**Closed**

**2010-07-15**

**History**

**#1 - 2009-07-02 23:11 - Sebastian Kurfuerst**

- Project changed from Extbase MVC Framework to TYPO3.Fluid
- Category deleted (432)

**#2 - 2009-07-02 23:12 - Sebastian Kurfuerst**

Yep, we definitely need this. I'm just thinking whether a name "javaScript" would be better because it is more explicit.

What do you think?

Greets,  
Sebastian

**#3 - 2009-07-03 00:41 - Christian Müller**

I would like to have javaScript, its easier to read in a template and understandable by anyone.

**#4 - 2009-07-03 08:26 - Steffen Ritter**

Both fine with me...

**#5 - 2009-07-03 09:30 - Bastian Waidelich**

- Category set to ViewHelpers
- Assigned To set to Bastian Waidelich
- Target version set to 1.0.0 alpha 2
- Branch set to v4 + v5

Sebastian Kurfuerst wrote:

*I'm just thinking whether a name "javaScript" would be better because it is more explicit.*

I think so too. And it should have a src-attribute too.

I'll take care of it, thanks for the patch!

**#6 - 2009-07-18 10:01 - Steffen Ritter**

Bastian Waidelich wrote:

*I think so too. And it should have a src-attribute too.  
I'll take care of it, thanks for the patch!*

Hey,  
what is the current state ?

regards

Steffen

**#7 - 2009-07-20 10:19 - Bastian Waidelich**

Steffen Ritter wrote:

```
| Hey,  
| what is the current state ?
```

We're thinking about a more generic approach, but we're on it! Sorry for the delay!

**#8 - 2009-07-27 11:14 - Robert Lemke**

- *Target version deleted (1.0.0 alpha 2)*

**#9 - 2009-10-21 19:22 - Bastian Waidelich**

Still thinking about this one..

Take this scenario:

```
<link href="foo/bar.css" rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" />
```

```
<style type="text/css"> /*  */<br/>  // some inline css rules<br/> /*  */ </style>
```

```
<script src="foo/bar.js" type="text/javascript"></script>
```

```
<script type="text/javascript"> /*  */<br/>  // some inline js<br/> /*  */ </script>
```

What would be a nice and consistent way of achieving the above?

**#10 - 2010-06-18 10:20 - Bastian Waidelich**

- *Priority changed from Must have to Should have*

**#11 - 2010-06-23 14:52 - Bastian Waidelich**

- *File 3677\_v1.patch added*

- *Status changed from New to Needs Feedback*

Attached patch adds a viewhelper "embed.javaScript".

But after discussing with Karsten, I'm not sure anymore if we really need a viewHelper for this.. The drawback is, that we'd lose the direct preview (opening the template in a Browser).

The missing CDATA tags for inline JavaScript might be added automatically by another Fluid interceptor.. But I'm not sure about this one. Please comment!

**#12 - 2010-06-24 19:49 - Sebastian Kurfuerst**

hey, I'd rather suggest to create a ViewHelper which outputs the CDATA stuff. Doing that via an interceptor would be too much magic IMHO.

Greetings, Sebastian

**#13 - 2010-07-12 13:06 - Bastian Waidelich**

- *Tracker changed from Feature to Suggestion*
- *Project changed from TYPO3.Fluid to Fluid - ViewHelper - Incubator*
- *Category deleted (ViewHelpers)*

**#14 - 2010-07-12 13:17 - Bastian Waidelich**

- *Subject changed from Add Fluid ViewHelper for Inline JavaScript to embed.javascript*

**#15 - 2011-06-28 12:30 - Bastian Waidelich**

- *Status changed from Needs Feedback to Rejected*
- *Priority changed from Should have to Won't have this time*

As described above, replacing the script tags would have negative side effects.

Creating a cdata view helper that wraps its child nodes in CDATA tags seems like an overhead to me..

See <http://forge.typo3.org/projects/typo3v4-mvc/wiki/FAQ#How-can-I-use-JavaScript-inside-Fluid-templates> for more details on how to use javascript in Fluid templates.

And feel free to reopen if you don't agree.

**#16 - 2012-11-13 18:38 - Felix Nagel**

Linked wiki FAQ point results in inline JS within the body which is just bad practice.

A real JS helper for adding inline JS is still missing. This should be done by using `$GLOBALS['TSFE']->getPageRenderer()->addJsInlineCode` like in EXT:fed viewhelper.

TYPO3 internal merging and compressing does not work with inline body JS but with scripts / files added to pageRenderer!

Please reopen!

**#17 - 2012-11-13 18:39 - Bastian Waidelich**

- *Status changed from Rejected to New*
- *Assigned To deleted (Bastian Waidelich)*
- *Priority changed from Won't have this time to Could have*
- *Has patch set to No*

**Files**

---

JSViewHelper.diff	2.8 kB	2009-06-16	Steffen Ritter
-------------------	--------	------------	----------------

