

## TYPO3.Flow - Bug # 41533

<b>Status:</b>	Needs Feedback	<b>Priority:</b>	Should have
<b>Author:</b>	Simon Schick	<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Created:</b>	2012-10-02	<b>Assigned To:</b>	
<b>Updated:</b>	2012-10-10	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>PHP Version:</b>	5.3		
<b>Has patch:</b>	No		
<b>Complexity:</b>			
<b>Affected Flow version:</b>	FLOW3 1.1.0		
<b>Subject:</b>	Ignored object-validation in editAction when redirecting back from updateAction		
<b>Description</b>	<p>I tried to create a blog following The Definitive Guide.</p> <p>I ran into the "problem" that I did not get the exception described on <a href="http://flow3.typo3.org/documentation/guide/partii/validation.html#validating-updated-arguments">http://flow3.typo3.org/documentation/guide/partii/validation.html#validating-updated-arguments</a>.</p> <p>As I Tried updating a post with an empty title and submitting the form I got back to the editAction, seeing the form having an empty title-field.</p> <p>In addition I saw the error-info "title: This property is required."</p> <p>So I did not have to add the annotation "@FLOW3\IgnoreValidation("\$post")".</p> <p>Before I added the annotations "@FLOW3\Validate(type="NotEmpty")" to the model, I saved a post having an empty title and I was not able to open this post in the edit-view.</p> <p>Here's the source of the controller and the model: <a href="https://gist.github.com/3819948">https://gist.github.com/3819948</a></p>		

### History

#### #1 - 2012-10-10 09:07 - Sebastian Kurfuerst

- Status changed from New to Needs Feedback

I think the behavior we implement currently is correct; it's just that the guide is incorrect at this point.

#### #2 - 2012-10-10 09:27 - Simon Schick

Hi, Sebastian

I don't know what is the correct way ... just that this is incompatible.

For me, too, it feels more natural to change the docs. Opening an edit-view having an invalid dataset should result in an error (unleas you have the ignoreValidation annotation) but getting back when the framework rejects a request, should be possible.

Facing this, there is a case, you covered now, that wouldn't be coverable if you'd have implemented it the way the documentation says.