## TYPO3.Flow - Feature # 44157

Priority: Status: Should have Resolved Author: Adrian Föder Category: Object Created: 2012-12-20 Assigned To: Adrian Föder **Updated:** 2015-02-11 Due date: PHP Version: Has patch: No Complexity: Subject: Object configuration should imply annotated object name Description consider the following use case: 1/\*\* 2 \* @Flow\Scope("singleton") 3 \*/ 4class FooService { 5 6 /\*\* 7 \* @var \TYPO3\Flow\Monitor\FileMonitor 8 9 protected \$fileMonitor; 10} 1Acme\Acme\FooService: 2 properties: fileMonitor: 4 object: 5# name: TYPO3\Flow\Monitor\FileMonitor 6 arguments: 7 1: value: 'MyPackage MonitorIdentifier'

When running this way, Flow complains

# Uncaught Exception

Object configuration for property "fileMonitor" contains neither object name nor factory object name in configuration of package ...

So, the commented line in the yaml above becomes necessary, however I think the default object name in this case should still be the annotated one.

Do you agree on this?

#### **Associated revisions**

Revision f0bde21d - 2015-02-10 15:36 - Adrian Föder

2015-08-04 1/3

Currently, an object configuration's property's class must explicitly configured with its name, even if the name is implied by the annotation of the intended property.

This change falls back to that annotated class name, if the name is not explicitly mentioned. For example, this configuration now will work::

```
'Acme\Acme\SomeClass':
properties:
'someProperty':
object:
# the type of 'someProperty' will be inferred from the var annotation now
# previously it had to be specified via `name`
arguments:
1:
```

...as long as the mentioned property ``someProperty`` has a proper ``@var`` annotation revealing the class name.

value: 'SomeConstructorArgument'

Change-Id: Ic84f2605856b43aab04672da4b75b3bc36b5e196

Resolves: #44157 Releases: master

### History

## #1 - 2012-12-20 15:42 - Rafael Kähm

good idea, minus one "source of error" for developers by multiple definition of the same property. But be carefully with interfaces and abstract classes, so row 5 in your yaml should be optional.

#### #2 - 2013-01-14 11:43 - Adrian Föder

- Status changed from New to Under Review
- Assigned To set to Adrian Föder

Review at <a href="https://review.typo3.org/#/c/17255/">https://review.typo3.org/#/c/17255/</a>

#### #3 - 2014-08-20 18:57 - Gerrit Code Review

Patch set 3 for branch **master** of project **Packages/TYPO3.Flow** has been pushed to the review server. It is available at <a href="http://review.typo3.org/17255">http://review.typo3.org/17255</a>

#### #4 - 2015-02-10 12:47 - Gerrit Code Review

Patch set 4 for branch **master** of project **Packages/TYPO3.Flow** has been pushed to the review server. It is available at http://review.typo3.org/17255

2015-08-04 2/3

## #5 - 2015-02-10 15:37 - Gerrit Code Review

Patch set 5 for branch **master** of project **Packages/TYPO3.Flow** has been pushed to the review server. It is available at <a href="http://review.typo3.org/17255">http://review.typo3.org/17255</a>

## #6 - 2015-02-11 14:30 - Adrian Föder

- Status changed from Under Review to Resolved
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changes et commit: f0bde 21d8d35b4751e22ad4eb1a087069f9c922b.

2015-08-04 3/3