TYPO3.Flow - Task # 45253

Status:	Accepted	Priority:	Must have
Author:	Adrian Föder	Category:	Security
Created:	2013-02-07	Assigned To:	Christian Müller
Updated:	2013-03-07	Due date:	
Sprint:			
PHP Version:			
Has patch:	No		
Complexity:			
Subject:	Throw exception in PointcutMethodNameFilter if given method's argument does not match the actual methor signature		
Description			
Consider a TYPO3.0	Comment CommentRepository. I	have set the following security res	ource:
[]			
		CommentRepository->remove(com	ment.author ===
The CommentRepos common.	sitory itself does not carry an owr	n remove() method, it inherits from	TYPO3\Flow\Persistence\Repository as
In that mentioned ca	ase, NO proxy method is generate	ed, hence no security applied.	
When leaving the ru	ntime constraint away,		
[] 'method(TYPO3 [\]	Comments\Domain\Repository\C	CommentRepository->remove())'	
and still having not a	a concrete remove() method, but	the inherited, it works , a proxy me	thod is generated.
Third example: putti	ng the runtime constraint back in	,	
[]			
		CommentRepository->remove(com	ment.author ===
and putting a "dumn 1/**	ny" remove() method into the con	crete CommentRepository itself,	
2 * @param \TY	PO3\Comments\Domain\Model\C	Comment \$comment	
3 */			
3 */	remove(\$comment) {		
3 */ 4public function	remove(\$comment) { ve(\$comment);		
3 */ 4public function 5 parent::remo	remove(\$comment) { ve(\$comment);		
3 */ 4public function			
3 */ 4public function 5 parent::remo			

If you want to test and fiddle, I prepared a repository at <u>https://github.com/afoeder/TYPO3.Comments</u>, just see the (only) Functional Test there and the Policy.yaml configuration. Maybe you want to checkout HEAD^1 there.

History

#1 - 2013-03-07 16:33 - Adrian Föder

- Tracker changed from Bug to Task

- Subject changed from Pointcuts on methods being inherited, carrying a runtime constraint, don't match to Throw exception in PointcutMethodNameFilter if given method's argument does not match the actual method signature

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Assigned To set to Christian Müller

the wrongly, from my side, written Policy entry read ...->remove(comment.author === current.securityContext.party). This has been ignored in the PointcutMethodNameFilter->matches() method because the (inherited!) remove method did not expect a comment argument, but an "object" argument since the parent repository has this signature.

So the correct Policy entry would have been ->remove(object.author === ...)

However, an exception should be thrown in that case because the given argument does not match the actual method signature.

#2 - 2013-03-07 16:33 - Adrian Föder

- Status changed from Closed to Accepted