# **TYPO3.Fluid - Bug # 5512**

Status:	Resolved	Priority:	Could have		
Author:	Bastian Waidelich	Category:	ViewHelpers		
Created:	2009-11-26	Assigned To:	Bastian Waidelich		
Updated:	2010-10-20	Due date:	Due date:		
Has patch:					
Affected Flow v	ersion:				
Subject:	form view helper produces inv	form view helper produces invalid XHTML output			
Description					
l just found out, t	rm view helper inserts hidden fields for hat this is not XHTML compliant as In X	XHTML 1.0 Strict mode all inputs			
l just found out, t	hat this is not XHTML compliant as In X	XHTML 1.0 Strict mode all inputs			
l just found out, t	hat this is not XHTML compliant as In X	XHTML 1.0 Strict mode all inputs			
I just found out, t I'd suggest to wra <div style="di&lt;br&gt;Related issues:&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;hat this is not XHTML compliant as In X&lt;br&gt;ap the auto-generated hidden fields by&lt;br&gt;isplay: none"></div>	XHTML 1.0 Strict mode all inputs				

Associated revisions

Revision dadfec9c - 2010-07-19 21:39 - Bastian Waidelich

[+BUGFIX] Fluid (ViewHelpers): FormViewHelper wraps hidden fields with a div tag to create XHTML valid output. This fixes #5512

## History

### #1 - 2009-11-26 15:13 - Bastian Waidelich

- File 5512\_form\_view\_helper\_produces\_invalid\_XHTML\_output.patch added

- Assigned To set to Sebastian Kurfuerst

@Sebastian: could you please verify the attached patch and commit if ok?

## #2 - 2009-11-26 15:37 - Bastian Waidelich

- File 5512\_form\_view\_helper\_produces\_invalid\_XHTML\_output\_v2.patch added

new version with tweaked output (new lines)

## #3 - 2009-11-26 15:39 - Bastian Waidelich

Mh.. another XHTML compatibility issue:

in XHTML 1.0 Strict it's not allowed to set the "name" attribute of the form tag. The problem is: Fluid relies on the name attribute...

# #4 - 2009-12-16 14:16 - Thomas Deinhamer

I'd use a fieldset element instead of a div element, seems to me more "native".

#### #5 - 2010-03-15 16:29 - Sebastian Kurfuerst

- Status changed from New to Needs Feedback
- Assigned To deleted (Sebastian Kurfuerst)
- Priority changed from Must have to Could have

We need to discuss this issue, as I somehow have a bad gut feeling when the <f:form> adds markup like "div".

### #6 - 2010-03-16 12:45 - Bastian Waidelich

- Assigned To set to Sebastian Kurfuerst

Sebastian Kurfuerst wrote:

I somehow have a bad gut feeling when the <f:form> adds markup like "div".

I don't know.. It already does add additional HTML, which I don't really like. But there is no way around that yet\* - so at least they should be added in a (X)HTML compliant way in my opinion.

btw: I'm reassigning this to you so you get notified ;)

- In FLOW3 there will be a request stack that might make this "hidden field magic" unnecessary. Do you remember if something like this planned too for extbase?

#### #7 - 2010-06-18 10:12 - Sebastian Kurfuerst

- Assigned To deleted (Sebastian Kurfuerst)

### #8 - 2010-07-19 10:42 - Bastian Waidelich

- Assigned To set to Bastian Waidelich

## #9 - 2010-07-19 21:45 - Bastian Waidelich

- Status changed from Needs Feedback to Resolved
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset r4872.

#### Files

5512_form_view_helper_produces_invalid_XHTML_output.patch	2.8 kB	2009-11-26	Bastian Waidelich
5512_form_view_helper_produces_invalid_XHTML_output_v2.patch	2.8 kB	2009-11-26	Bastian Waidelich