# TYPO3.Fluid - Feature # 58557

Status:	Rejected	Priority:	Won't have	this time	
Author:	Aimo Künkel	Category:	Core		
Created:	2014-05-06	Assigned To:	Bastian Wa	aidelich	
Updated:	2014-05-15	Due date:	Due date:		
Has patch:	No				
Subject:	Define Namespaces in Configu	Define Namespaces in Configuration File			
Description					
<ul> <li>convention o</li> <li>reconfiguration</li> </ul>		ontend developers create multi	iple fluid template	s)	
Related issues:					
related to TYPO3.F	wid Fasture # 50000, Desister neekaas n	amochaooc automat	Closed	0014 05 45	
-	luid - Feature # 58829: Register package n	amespaces automat	010300	2014-05-15	
related to TYPO3.F	luid - Feature # 53059: Throw exception for		Closed	2014-05-15 2013-10-23	

# History

# #1 - 2014-05-06 11:28 - Bastian Waidelich

- Category set to Core

- Status changed from New to Needs Feedback

Aimo Künkel wrote:

Hi Aimo,

this topic comes up from time to time but we didn't make namespace prefixes configurable by intention (see #35766)

- cleaner fluid templates

Debatable, but I don't think that some namespace declarations make a template less clean

- convention over configuration (e.g. when multiple frontend developers create multiple fluid templates)

For this I'd suggest to come up with a naming convention in the respective project (e.g. lower cased package key or its initials)

- reconfiguration of the f: namespace

### That would break a lot of templates

But most importantly: It's a very important fact that Fluid templates (and partials and layouts) are self-contained. That makes them easier to comprehend (without having to check certain configuration options) and reusable.

If you agree to our points I'd like to close this issue as duplicate

#### #2 - 2014-05-06 11:52 - Bastian Waidelich

- Assigned To set to Bastian Waidelich

#### #3 - 2014-05-06 12:00 - Adrian Föder

Hi Bastian,

I understand your (and Sebastians) arguments; but for the sake of consistency, the f: namespace should also not be available "magically" then.

On the other hand, a proprietary project's namespace is surely as "important" as the Fluid Core, so I think an "f" and a "acme" namespace for example can and should live and be treated equally.

BTW; I personally didn't and don't want to include namespaces globally, but in that case I would go so far and would say that also Fluid's namespace should be included explicitly then.

### #4 - 2014-05-06 12:22 - Aimo Künkel

Thanks for your update, i can understand your point with the advantages of fluid templates being self-contained.

Still, i think enabling this doesn't necessarily have to break things here:

From my point of view, it's just adding items to an existing list of preconfigured namespaces, even if it currently just contains one item (f:)

If you don't want to make fluid itself learn this thing we could maybe just 1. put the fluid namespace into a separate property

- 2. respect it in TYPO3\Fluid\Core\Parser\TemplateParser::reset()
- 3. make flow change it via Objects.yaml, Views.yaml with an additional setting, or something else...

Somehow I'm not totally convinced yet since this change feels backwards compatible.

# #5 - 2014-05-06 15:13 - Jacob Floyd

I like the idea of namespaces specified in configuration.

### #6 - 2014-05-06 15:21 - Bastian Waidelich

Ok, I added the topic to the agenda of our upcoming technical meeting (see <a href="http://wiki.typo3.org/TYPO3">http://wiki.typo3.org/TYPO3</a> Neos-DiscussionMeetings#Introduce configurable namespace aliases to Fluid.3F and feel free to join on the 13th)

#### #7 - 2014-05-13 16:23 - Bastian Waidelich

#### Hi guys,

as promised, we discussed that topic in our "Technical Meeting" today (see <u>http://wiki.typo3.org/TYPO3\_Neos-DiscussionMeetings</u>). I brought it up and tried to "leave out" my personal opinion as much as possible but we're still skeptikal of introducing a global configuration option for following reasons:

- It's very handy that Fluid files are "self-contained", so that it is comprehensible even if no other configuration is known (even thought the **f**-prefix is predefined, that's no contradiction in our opinion, just another convention)

- If every package can register global namespace mappings, conflicts would be very likely - using Views.yaml for that would not solve this

- It defeats the "convention > configuration" approach

Instead of a new configuration option, we suggest to introduce a new convention: The package keys of all packages that contain ViewHelpers are pre-registered (lower-cased).

With this you could directly use 3rd party ViewHelpers without having to register the namespace:

<typo3.neos:helper foo="bar" /> {typo3.neos:helper(foo: 'bar')}

Apart from that we should get http://forge.typo3.org/issues/53059 in asap independently of the result of the above discussion.

### #8 - 2014-05-15 15:01 - Aimo Künkel

Hi Bastian,

Thanks for your thoughts and update about your technical discussion.

{typo3.neos:helper(foo: 'bar')} feels quite handy and i'd like to see it in the fluid core! This way we could easily drop the re-mapping to other names or letters in most cases, which kinda feels like the "cleanup" i was missing.

looking forward to it, Aimo Künkel

### #9 - 2014-05-15 15:34 - Bastian Waidelich

- Status changed from Needs Feedback to Rejected

- Priority changed from Should have to Won't have this time

Aimo Künkel wrote:

{typo3.neos:helper(foo: 'bar')} feels quite handy and i'd like to see it in the fluid core! This way we could easily drop the re-mapping to other names or letters in most cases, which kinda feels like the "cleanup" i was missing.

I agree and close this one in favor of #58829 (watch that one for updates).

Thank again for your feedback!