

TYPO3.Flow - Feature # 6426

Status:	Closed	Priority:	Won't have this time
Author:	Nikolas Hagelstein	Category:	Cache
Created:	2010-02-10	Assigned To:	
Updated:	2010-10-20	Due date:	
PHP Version:			
Has patch:			
Complexity:			
Subject:	Implement caching backend for other opcode caches.		
Description			
<p>Currently the flow3 caching backend as well as its typo3 backport support the APC opcode cache. Other popular opcode caches e.g. xcache, eaccelerator also offer the opportunity to store data in shm.</p> <p>Since the shm storing api across different opcode caches just varies a little (mainly naming of put/get functions) it might make sense to create a separated abstract "opcode cache backend" class and let the actual opcode cache specific backend inherit from it.</p> <p>I attached very a rough (and not fully tested) xcache caching backend class i put together by copy and pasting from the typo3 apc cache backend. Just to illustrate the minor difference.</p>			

History

#1 - 2010-02-18 19:25 - Karsten Dambekalns

- Priority changed from *Should have* to *Could have*

Well, alongside APC we support memcache. eaccelerator did not work with PHP 5.3 anyway last time I checked, has this changed? xcache is new to me, IIRC...

#2 - 2010-03-10 13:57 - Nikolas Hagelstein

Karsten Dambekalns wrote:

Well, alongside APC we support memcache. eaccelerator did not work with PHP 5.3 anyway last time I checked, has this changed? xcache is new to me, IIRC...

eAccelerator 0.9.6 claims to work with php 5.3 but i haven't tested it yet.

#3 - 2010-07-09 14:05 - Robert Lemke

- Status changed from *New* to *Closed*

- Priority changed from *Could have* to *Won't have this time*

In general, there's nothing wrong with supporting more caches. But at this time it has a too low priority for the FLOW3 / TYPO3 Phoenix development to let it in. At this point I could only accept a fully functional, clean and tested implementation - we can't handle less than that at the moment.

Files

