

TYPO3.Flow - Bug # 7055

Status:	Closed	Priority:	Should have
Author:	Falk Kühnel	Category:	Reflection
Created:	2010-03-29	Assigned To:	Karsten Dambekalns
Updated:	2010-10-20	Due date:	
PHP Version:			
Has patch:			
Complexity:			
Affected Flow version:			
Subject:	possible reflection problem.		
Description			
<p>I have not looked into it in very much detail, but in extbase a problem occurred when calling fluid from a pibased framework. #7053</p> <p>Since it is a result of the reflected Class and the parameter checking based on the type hints for the constructors, the following code results in an exception:</p> <pre>/** * Constructor. Can take an array, and initializes the objects with it. * * @param array \$objectArray * @author Sebastian Kurfürst <sebastian@typo3.org> * @api */ public function __construct(\$objectArray = array()) { if (!is_array(\$objectArray)) throw new RuntimeException('Context has to be initialized with an array, ' . gettype(\$objectArray) . ' given.', 1224592343); \$this->objects = \$objectArray; }</pre>			
<p>The @param requests an array parameter and the constructor creates an array if the constructor is called without a param. This should somehow be considered by the reflection mechanisms.</p>			

History

#1 - 2010-04-07 12:13 - Karsten Dambekalns

- Category set to Reflection
- Status changed from New to Closed
- Assigned To set to Karsten Dambekalns
- Start date changed from 2010-03-29 to 2010-04-07

The issue you refer to does is caused by a NULL being given to the constructor. Well, either an array or nothing works in this case, but NULL is not allowed. This is a result of the type hint used and must be observed by the calling code. It has nothing to do with reflection, sorry...

#2 - 2010-04-07 17:18 - Falk Kühnel

I did not realize, that calling a function without parameter and with an unset parameter (NULL) is making a difference. This turns my supposed workaround

for #7053 into a real patch :D