

## TYPO3.Fluid - Task # 8491

|                    |   |                     |                    |
|--------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Status:</b>     | Needs Feedback  | <b>Priority:</b>    | Should have        |
| <b>Author:</b>     | Bastian Waidelich   | <b>Category:</b>    | ViewHelpers        |
| <b>Created:</b>    | 2010-06-24  | <b>Assigned To:</b> | Karsten Dambekalns |
| <b>Updated:</b>    | 2012-03-07  | <b>Due date:</b>    |                    |
| <b>Has patch:</b>  | No  |                     |                    |
| <b>Subject:</b>    | link.action and uri.action differ in absolute argument  |                     |                    |
| <b>Description</b> | <p>In r3548 the "absolute" argument of the link.action ViewHelper has been removed with following comment:<br/>"[~TASK][~API] Fluid (ViewHelper): The ActionViewhelper now produces only absolute URIs. The argument "absolute" is now obsolete."</p> <p>I don't understand why this would make sense.. And if it does, shouldn't the uri.action ViewHelper be changed accordingly?</p> |                     |                    |

### History

#### #1 - 2010-06-24 19:46 - Sebastian Kurfuerst

added Robert and Karsten as watchers, so they get notified and can comment :)

#### #2 - 2012-03-07 16:30 - Karsten Dambekalns

- Subject changed from ActionLink ViewHelper only generates absolute links since r3548 to link.action and uri.action differ in absolute argument
- Category set to ViewHelpers
- Assigned To set to Karsten Dambekalns
- Priority changed from -- undefined -- to Should have
- Has patch set to No

I just asked Robert about this and he cannot remember anything :)

I am not sure about the usefulness of relative URLs here, but I doubt the inconsistency introduced should stay as it is...

Does anyone have an opinion on this?

#### #3 - 2012-03-07 16:35 - Bastian Waidelich

Karsten Dambekalns wrote:

| Does anyone have an opinion on this?

I still have the same one as "over 1 year ago" - doesn't happen too often ;)

For URIs you usually set the absolute argument more often (in E-Mails, JavaScript, ...) but IMO this should be in sync with link.uri anyways (and for links, creating relative URIs by default makes absolute sense)

#### #4 - 2012-03-07 16:36 - Adrian Förder

I have an opinion to everything. :)

Well, I feel uncomfortable with only-absolute URLs at all, although I can't reason this properly. So that's only IMHO.

Definitely the behavior of both should equal; couldn't even one **extend** the other? The URL handling and their options **are** and **must be** always equal together, so maybe it's possible to let the TagViewHelper (link) extend the other one...? Btw that's maybe beyond scope, but you asked ;-)

#### #5 - 2012-03-07 16:47 - Adrian Förder

ah, now I understand; so, the default, usual behavior is/was to have **absolute** URLs when using URI, and relative ones when using LINK? OK, that makes sense for the reason Karsten mentioned, but I'd still like to keep the possibility to control this: sometimes for example I need to store an internal action URI to a data- attribute or even to <form action=""> we all know.

Conclusion: at least for JavaScript and AJAX tricks it's useful to have the uri.action output still relative.

#### #6 - 2012-03-07 17:05 - Bastian Waidelich

Ok, there was some confusion. I'll try to recap:

uri.action and link.action both used to have an argument absolute that defaults to FALSE.

That has been changed for link.action: The argument has been removed completely and links are now always absolute.

That does not make sense to me. We could change the default to be TRUE but I would vote against that too as relative links should be used by default IMO (you have to take care of the base tag and so, but that's another issue).

Re: Tag-based ViewHelper **extending** other ViewHelpers. That would be nice, but it's currently not possible because PHP only allows one parent which is "AbstractTagBasedViewHelper" for Tag-VH. But it will be possible with **mixins** (or even with **traits** in 5.4)